

2019 list of global systemically important banks (G-SIBs)

1. The Financial Stability Board (FSB), in consultation with Basel Committee on Banking Supervision (BCBS) and national authorities, has identified the 2019 list of global systemically important banks (G-SIBs).¹ The list is based on end-2018 data² and the updated assessment methodology published by the BCBS in July 2013.³ One bank has been added to the list of G-SIBs that were identified in 2018, and therefore the overall number of G-SIBs increases from 29 to 30 (see Annex).
2. The changes in the allocation of the institutions to buckets (see below for details) reflects the effects of changes in underlying activity of banks.
3. FSB member authorities apply the following requirements to G-SIBs:

Higher capital buffer: Since the November 2012 update, the G-SIBs have been allocated to buckets corresponding to higher capital buffers that they are required to hold by national authorities in accordance with international standards. The capital buffer requirements for the G-SIBs identified in the annual update each November will apply to them as from January fourteen months later. The assignment of G-SIBs to the buckets, in the list published today, therefore determines the higher capital buffer requirements that will apply to each G-SIB from 1 January 2021.⁴

Total Loss-Absorbing Capacity (TLAC): G-SIBs are required to meet the TLAC standard, alongside the regulatory capital requirements set out in the Basel III framework. The TLAC standard has begun being phased-in from 1 January 2019 for G-SIBs identified in the 2015 list (provided that they continued to be designated as G-SIBs thereafter).⁵

Resolvability: These include group-wide resolution planning and regular resolvability assessments. The resolvability of each G-SIB is also reviewed in a high-level FSB

¹ In November 2011 the FSB published an integrated set of policy measures to address the systemic and moral hazard risks associated with systemically important financial institutions (SIFIs). In that publication, the FSB identified as global systemically important financial institutions (G-SIFIs) an initial group of G-SIBs, using a methodology developed by the BCBS. The November 2011 report noted that the group of G-SIBs would be updated annually based on new data and published by the FSB each November.

² The majority of banks reported data as of 31 December 2018. Exceptions include three banks from Australia (30 September 2018) and all banks from Canada (31 October 2018), India (31 March 2019) and Japan (31 March 2019).

³ See BCBS, *Global systemically important banks: updated assessment methodology and the higher loss absorbency requirement*, July 2013 (www.bis.org/publ/bcbs255.pdf).

⁴ The Basel III monitoring results published by the Basel Committee provide evidence on the aggregate capital ratios under the Basel III frameworks, as well as the additional loss absorbency requirements for G-SIBs (https://www.bis.org/bcbs/implementation/rcap_reports.htm).

⁵ See FSB, *Total Loss-Absorbing Capacity (TLAC) Principles and Term Sheet*, 9 November 2015 (www.fsb.org/2015/11/total-loss-absorbing-capacity-tlac-principles-and-term-sheet/). The BCBS published the final standard on the regulatory capital treatment of banks' investments in instruments that comprise TLAC for G-SIBs on 12 October 2016 (www.bis.org/bcbs/publ/d387.htm). The FSB published in July 2019 a technical review of the implementation of the Total Loss-Absorbing Capacity (TLAC) Standard for G-SIBs in resolution (<https://www.fsb.org/2019/07/review-of-the-technical-implementation-of-the-total-loss-absorbing-capacity-tlac-standard/>).

Resolvability Assessment Process (RAP) by senior regulators within the firms' Crisis Management Groups.⁶

Higher supervisory expectations: These include supervisory expectations for risk management functions, risk data aggregation capabilities, risk governance and internal controls.⁷

4. The methodology for G-SIB identification is described in the technical summary published by the BCBS in November 2014.⁸ The BCBS publishes the annually updated denominators used to calculate banks' scores and the thresholds used to allocate the banks to buckets and provides the links to the public disclosures of the full sample of banks assessed, as determined by the sample criteria set out in the BCBS G-SIB framework. The BCBS also publishes the twelve high-level indicators of the banks in the main sample used in the G-SIB scoring exercise.⁹
5. The BCBS published in July 2018 a revised version of its assessment methodology, replacing the July 2013 version.¹⁰ The revised assessment methodology will take effect in 2021 (based on end-2020 data), and the resulting higher capital buffer requirement will be applied in January 2023.
6. A new list of G-SIBs will next be published in November 2020.

⁶ See FSB, *2019 Resolution Report: "Mind the Gap"*, 14 November 2019 (<https://www.fsb.org/2019/11/2019-resolution-report-mind-the-gap/>).

⁷ The timeline for G-SIBs to meet this requirement were set out in the November 2013 update. See FSB, *2013 update of group of global systemically important banks (G-SIBs)*, November 2013 (http://www.fsb.org/wp-content/uploads/r_131111.pdf).

⁸ See BCBS, *The G-SIB assessment methodology – score calculation* (<https://www.bis.org/bcbs/publ/d296.htm>)

⁹ See BCBS, *Global systemically important banks: Assessment methodology and the additional loss absorbency requirement* (www.bis.org/bcbs/gsib/index.htm) and BCBS, *High level indicator values and disclosures* (https://www.bis.org/bcbs/gsib/gsib_assessment_samples.htm)

¹⁰ See BCBS, *Global systemically important banks: revised assessment methodology and the higher loss absorbency requirement*, July 2018 (<https://www.bis.org/bcbs/publ/d445.pdf>)

G-SIBs as of November 2019¹¹ allocated to buckets corresponding to required levels of additional capital buffers

Bucket ¹²	G-SIBs in alphabetical order within each bucket
5 (3.5%)	(Empty)
4 (2.5%)	JP Morgan Chase
3 (2.0%)	Citigroup HSBC
2 (1.5%)	Bank of America Bank of China Barclays BNP Paribas Deutsche Bank Goldman Sachs Industrial and Commercial Bank of China Mitsubishi UFJ FG Wells Fargo
1 (1.0%)	Agricultural Bank of China Bank of New York Mellon China Construction Bank Credit Suisse Groupe BPCE Groupe Crédit Agricole ING Bank Mizuho FG Morgan Stanley Royal Bank of Canada Santander Société Générale Standard Chartered State Street Sumitomo Mitsui FG Toronto Dominion UBS UniCredit

¹¹ Compared with the list of G-SIBs published in 2018, the number of banks identified as G-SIBs increases from 29 to 30. One bank (Toronto Dominion) has been added to the list. One bank has moved to a lower bucket: Deutsche Bank has moved from bucket 3 to bucket 2.

¹² The bucket approach is defined in Table 2 of the Basel Committee document [Global systemically important banks: updated assessment methodology and the higher loss absorbency requirement](#), July 2013. The numbers in parentheses are the required level of additional common equity loss absorbency as a percentage of risk-weighted assets that each G-SIB will be required to hold in 2021.